

INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT FACILITY AT HOLLYWOOD CIRCULAR ECONOMY CAMPUS

Natura Impact Statement



MDR1492ARp0003
F01
21st October 2022

Contents

1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Introduction.....	1
1.2	Legislative Context.....	3
1.2.1	European Context.....	3
1.2.2	National Context	3
1.3	Stages of Appropriate Assessment.....	4
1.4	Scope of NIS	4
2	PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT.....	5
2.1	Proposed Development Location.....	5
2.2	Proposed Development Background	5
2.3	Proposed Development Overview	5
2.4	Current Operations.....	6
2.4.1	Site Layout.....	6
2.4.2	Plant and Operations	6
2.5	Detailed Description of the Proposed Development	7
2.5.1	Waste Streams	10
2.5.2	Waste Acceptance.....	12
2.5.3	Cell Layout.....	13
2.5.4	Non-Hazardous Waste Cells	15
2.5.5	Incinerator Bottom Ash (IBA) Maturation.....	17
2.5.6	Materials Balance	19
2.5.7	Leachate Management System.....	20
2.5.8	Stormwater Management System for the Landfill.....	25
2.5.9	Landfill Gas Management System.....	26
2.5.10	Other Infrastructure.....	26
2.6	Construction Phase.....	26
2.7	Project Phasing	27
2.8	Site Restoration.....	31
2.8.1	General Site Restoration	31
2.8.2	Peregrine Habitat Management.....	33
3	METHODOLOGY	35
3.1	Statement of Authority.....	35
3.2	Appropriate Assessment Guidance and Legislation	35
3.3	Relevant European Sites	36
3.3.1	Identifying Relevant European Sites	36
3.3.2	Establishing a Zone of Influence.....	36
3.3.3	Adverse Effect on Integrity.....	37
3.3.4	Consideration of <i>ex-situ</i> Effects.....	37
3.3.5	In-combination Effects	38
3.4	Ecological Data	39
3.4.1	Desk Study.....	39
3.4.2	Field Study	39
3.5	Consultation	40
3.6	Data Limitations and Difficulties Encountered	42
3.6.1	Desk Study.....	42
3.6.2	Field Study	42
3.7	Relevant European Sites	42
3.7.1	Source-Pathway-Receptor Model.....	42
3.7.2	Zone of Influence	42
4	RECEIVING ENVIRONMENT	44

4.1	European sites	44
4.2	Overview of Proposed Development Site	44
4.3	Habitats	44
4.3.1	Terrestrial	44
4.3.2	Aquatic	45
4.4	Species	46
4.4.1	Avifauna	46
4.4.2	Mammals	47
4.4.3	Fish	47
4.4.4	Invertebrates	47
4.4.5	Flora	48
5	SCREENING FOR APPROPRIATE ASSESSMENT	49
5.1	Screening for Appropriate Assessment	49
5.2	Potential for Likely Significant Effects	49
5.2.1	Water Quality and Pollution Control	50
5.2.2	Disturbance to Qualifying Interest/Special Conservation Interest Species	51
5.2.3	Invasive Alien Plant Species (IAPS)	51
5.3	Cumulative and In-Combination Impacts	51
5.4	Screening for Appropriate Assessment Conclusion	56
6	APPROPRIATE ASSESSMENT – STAGE 2: NATURA IMPACT STATEMENT	57
6.1	Required Information	57
6.1.1	Proposed Development	57
6.1.2	Linkages to European Sites	57
6.1.3	Brief Description of European Sites within the Zol	65
6.2	Conservation Objectives	66
6.2.1	Rogerstown Estuary SAC	66
6.2.2	Rogerstown Estuary SPA	68
6.3	Predicted Effects	68
6.3.1	Rogerstown Estuary SAC	68
6.3.2	Rogerstown Estuary SPA	69
6.3.3	Surface Water Pollution Pathways	73
6.3.4	Groundwater Pollution Pathway	75
6.3.5	In-combination Effects	76
7	MITIGATION MEASURES	78
7.1	Surface Water and Groundwater Management	78
7.1.1	Construction	78
7.1.2	Operation	79
7.2	Invasive Species Management	81
7.2.1	Construction	81
7.2.2	Operation	81
7.3	Emergency Response and Environmental Training	82
7.4	Management Plans	82
7.5	Residual Impacts	83
8	CONCLUSION OF APPROPRIATE ASSESSMENT	84
9	REFERENCES	85

Appendices

Appendix A: Figures	89
Appendix B: Copies of Consultation Responses	91

Appendix C: Assessment of European Sites Within 15 km.....	92
Appendix D: Habitat Descriptions.....	97
Appendix E: Existing Management Plan for the Safe Disposal of Japanese Knotweed.....	100
Appendix F: Peregrine Falcon Management Plan.....	101

Tables

Table 2-1 Classes of Activity sought under the Industrial Emission Licence.....	10
Table 2-2 Proposed Waste Streams to be accepted at the Site.....	10
Table 2-3 Chemical composition of IBA from the incineration of MSW (BAT Reference Document for Waste Incineration).....	17
Table 2-4 Selection of element found in MSW IBA which are considered as High Level of Concern.....	17
Table 2-5 Materials Balance Estimates.....	20
Table 2-6 Projected Water Balance for Leachate Generation.....	21
Table 2-7 Licenced WWTP in the north Dublin Area.....	23
Table 2-8 Landfill Leachate Waste Stream Estimate Quality.....	24
Table 2-9 Indicative Filling Plan for Inert Cells (in tonnes).....	29
Table 2-10 Indicative Filling Plan for Non-Hazardous Cells (in tonnes) – 2026 to 2038.....	29
Table 2-11 Indicative Filling Plan for Non-Hazardous Cells (in tonnes) – 2039 to 2051.....	29
Table 3-1 Summary of Consultation Responses Relevant to Appropriate Assessment.....	40
Table 5-1 Potential Development in the Area.....	53
Table 6-1 Source-Pathway-Receptor Model for the Proposed Development.....	58
Table 6-2 Proposed Development Link(s) with Rogerstown Estuary SAC (000208).....	61
Table 6-3 Proposed Development Link(s) with Rogerstown Estuary SPA (004015).....	62
Table 6-4 Conservation Status and Threats to Relevant QIs of Rogerstown Estuary SAC.....	63
Table 6-5 Conservation Status, Population Trends, and Threats to Relevant SCIs of Rogerstown Estuary SPA.....	64
Table 6-6 Conservation Objective Attributes for the Rogerstown Estuary SAC.....	67
Table 6-7 Conservation Objective Attributes for the Rogerstown Estuary SPA.....	68
Table 6-8 Prediction of Effects on Site Integrity (Qualifying Interests) in the Rogerstown Estuary SAC during Construction and Operation.....	69
Table 6-9 Prediction of Effects on Site Integrity (Special Conservation Interests) in the Rogerstown Estuary SPA during Construction and Operation.....	70
Table 7-1 Identification of Residual Adverse Effects within the Zone of Influence of the Proposed Development.....	83

Figures

Figure 1-1 Site Location.....	2
Figure 2-1 Operational Site Layout.....	9
Figure 2-2 Proposed Cell Layout.....	14
Figure 2-3 Basal Liner details for Non-hazardous Cells.....	16
Figure 2-4 Example of the mobile enclosure for IBA maturation.....	18
Figure 2-5 Estimated Leachate Generation.....	22
Figure 2-6 Indicative Project Phasing.....	30
Figure 2-7 Final Restoration Levels.....	32
Figure 2-8 View of peregrine falcon artificial next box installed in February 2020, prior to infilling.....	33
Figure 2-9 Imagine from August 2022 of artificial next box for the peregrine falcon, post infilling (as approved by Reg. Ref. F19A/0077) approx. 3.5m from ground.....	34